

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,836	07/15/2003	Gerhard Brugger	KKFI53.001AUS	8773
20995	7590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			WEAVER, SUE A	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			3727	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,836	BRUGGER, GERHARD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sue A. Weaver	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	0 🗖 late-ian 2	(DTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3727

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plastic material claimed in claim 12 must be shown by proper cross hatching of the sectional view or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: The features "120" and "264" do not appear to have been described.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the

Art Unit: 3727

specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement figures which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments, or remarks, section of the amendment. Any replacement drawing sheet must be identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet" (37 CFR 1.121(d)) and include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing

Application/Control Number: 10/620,836 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

sheets must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Marked-up Drawings" and accompany the replacement sheets.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There doesn't appear to be any brief description of Figures 9A, 9B, 10A or 10B. Each of the drawing figures must have a brief description.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the center" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The center in relation to what, the center of the respective containers or the reservoir?

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3727

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 9, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meurer et al in view of Rainey et al.

Structurally speaking claims 1 and 2 are identical and will be rejected together. Both Meurer et al and Rainey et al teach a container system with two container portions having engagement portions formed as projection elements and recessed elements on the contact surfaces. Furthermore Meurer et al are considered to teach elements disposed near the bottom of the container as claimed. Although the engagement portions of Meurer et al do not include both recessed and projection elements, Rainey et al teach the provision of both on each container so that they are identical for ease of manufacturing. Therefore to have arranged the elements of Meurer et al in the manner taught by Rainey et al so that the container portions are identical would have been most obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Note that the elements 44 and 46 of Meurer et al have undercuts as shown in Figure 5. The angle of 60 degrees is well-known for dovetail constructions and to have selected such would have been most obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

5. Claims 5, 6, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Brown, Jr.

Note the different engagement elements taught by Brown, Jr. in Figures 3-6. To have formed the container with additional contact surfaces with engagement elements

to receive additional containers would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Brown, Jr.

6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Schwartz.

To have provided an insertion area in the recessed element to aid insertion would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Schwartz at 15. Not the slope. The angle is considered to be a matter of choice dependent in part on the resiliency of the construction.

7. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Sparling.

To have formed the engagement element in the center of the container and formed the container of blow molded plastic would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Sparling.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Conconi, Vola, Eales, Douglas et al, Mann, Goebel, Flaig et al, Oakley et al, Betras, Wells et al, Mandelbaum, Jennison, Chang, Yan, Hall et al, Gentile et al, Klauke et al, Richard et al and James show other engagement constructions.
- 9. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,836

Art Unit: 3727

Page 7

Certificate of Mailing

	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
	Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
	on (Date)
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
	Signature:
	Certificate of Transmission
	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
	Signature:
facsin	Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning nile transmissions and mailing, respectively.
10.	Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
exam	iner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (703) 308-
1186.	The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.
_	The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding
is ass	igned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,836 Page 8

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sua A. Waaver Primary Examiner

SW